

Summer Undergraduate Research Proposal Rating Rubric

Description/timeline (20 pts)	Undergraduate student role (35 pts)
<p>18-20 pts: Detailed, clear description of three factors: (1) what is being done by the student, (2) how that connects to faculty scholarship/expertise, and (3) timeline showing the project can be accomplished during July 7-August 14, 2020 (4) direct mapping of virtual components to the project objectives</p>	<p>32-35 pts: Detailed, clear description of three factors: (1) the skills that the student will gain through this project, (2) how the skills connect with the student’s goals for grad school/career, and (3) the skills the student comes in with that will contribute to project success Note: it is preferable that students be identified in the proposal</p>
<p>14-17 pts: Missing some information on one of four factors listed above</p>	<p>24-31 pts: Missing some information on one of three factors listed above</p>
<p>10-13 pts: Missing some information on two of four factors listed above</p>	<p>16-23 pts: Missing some information on two of three factors listed above</p>
<p>0-9 pts: Insufficient clarity on four factors listed above, a wholly unrealistic timeline for the student, or project cannot be completed virtually.</p>	<p>0-15 pts: Insufficient clarity on three factors listed above</p>
Mentoring/training plan (35 pts)	Use of funds (10 pts)
<p>32-35 pts: Detailed, clear description of three factors: (1) Plans for required virtual weekly meetings with PI (2) Plans for how new skills will be acquired (i.e., virtual training) (3) PI demonstrates good track record/knowledge of mentoring</p>	<p>9-10 pts: Detailed, clear description of three factors: (1) at least \$1,500 for student salary at minimum wage or higher (2) how the money will be spent (3) budget follows the guidelines and is reasonable to support the proposed project</p>
<p>24-31 pts: Missing some information on one of three factors listed above</p>	<p>7-8 pts: Missing some information on one of three factors listed above</p>
<p>16-23 pts: Missing some information on two of three factors listed above</p>	<p>5-6 pts: Missing some information on two of three factors listed above</p>
<p>0-15 pts: Insufficient clarity on three factors listed above</p>	<p>0-4 pts: Insufficient clarity on three factors listed above or plans for the funds that are expressly not allowed (e.g., travel to conferences)</p>